



A review of education measures for social research

Vernon Gayle
Roxanne Connelly
Paul Lambert

ABSTRACT

This working paper is a review of issues associated with measuring education and using educational measures in social science research. The review is orientated towards researchers who undertake secondary analyses of large-scale micro-level social science datasets. The paper begins with an outline of important context which impinges upon the measurement of education. The UK is the focus of this review, but similar issues apply to other nation states. We provide a critical introduction to the main approaches to measuring education in social survey research, which include measuring years of education, using categorical qualification-based measures and scaling approaches. We advocate the use of established education measures to better facilitate comparability and replication. We conclude by making the recommendation that researchers place careful thought into which educational measure they select, and that researchers should routinely engage in appropriate sensitivity analyses.

KEYWORDS

Measuring Education; Social Surveys; Quantitative Data Analysis; UK.

EDITORIAL NOTE

Professor Vernon Gayle is Professor of Sociology and Social Statistics at the University of Edinburgh.

Dr Roxanne Connelly is a Research Fellow at the University of Edinburgh.

Professor Paul Lambert is Professor of Sociology at the University of Stirling.

Corresponding author: Professor Vernon Gayle, Vernon.Gayle@ed.ac.uk.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

We gratefully acknowledge the comments of Professor Geoff Payne, Professor John Field and Dr Alasdair Rutherford.

- © Vernon Gayle, Roxanne Connelly and Paul Lambert all rights reserved. Short sections of text, not to exceed two paragraphs, may be quoted without explicit permission provided that full credit, including © notice, is given to the source.

ESRC Centre for Population Change

The ESRC Centre for Population Change (CPC) is a joint initiative between the Universities of Southampton, St Andrews, Edinburgh, Stirling, Strathclyde, in partnership with the Office for National Statistics (ONS) and the National Records of Scotland (NRS). The Centre is funded by the Economic and Social Research Council (ESRC) grant numbers RES-625-28-0001 and ES/K007394/1.

This working paper series publishes independent research, not always funded through the Centre. The views and opinions expressed by authors do not necessarily reflect those of the CPC, ESRC, ONS or NRS.

The Working Paper Series is edited by Teresa McGowan.

[Website](#) | [Email](#) | [Twitter](#) | [Facebook](#) | [Mendeley](#)

A REVIEW OF EDUCATION MEASURES FOR SOCIAL RESEARCH

TABLE OF CONTENTS

1. INTRODUCTION	1
2. EDUCATION IN CONTEXT.....	2
2.1. MINIMUM SCHOOL LEAVING AGE	3
2.2. CHANGING SCHOOL STRUCTURES.....	4
2.3. CHANGING SCHOOL-AGE QUALIFICATIONS	5
2.4. POST-SCHOOL EDUCATIONAL INSTITUTIONS AND EDUCATIONAL EXPANSION.....	8
3. APPROACHES TO MEASURING EDUCATION	10
3.1. YEARS OF EDUCATION.....	10
3.2. QUALIFICATION BASED CATEGORIZATIONS	11
3.3. SCALING EDUCATION MEASURES	15
4. CONCLUSION	17
REFERENCES.....	18

1. INTRODUCTION

Measures of education are routinely incorporated into analyses of a wide variety of social outcomes and in analyses of social and population change. Education is a powerful explanatory factor influencing a number of economic phenomena, most notably both participation and success in the labour market (e.g. Card 1999, Hartog 2000, Jenkins and Siedler 2007). Education is also important in far less obvious fields such as health (e.g. Kunst and Mackenbach 1994, Ross and Wu 1995, Desai and Alva 1998, Lindeboom, Llena-Nozal et al. 2009). Measuring education appropriately is more difficult than researchers might initially assume, because there is no simple, universal or agreed upon measure of education. Most societies have complex educational systems that have often changed over time. Therefore the seemingly prosaic activity of measuring an individual's education within a social survey is far from straightforward, and secondary analysts of social survey data should be mindful of the challenges and potential pitfalls associated with using education variables in statistical analyses.

There are a number of high-quality social surveys which are specifically designed to collect detailed and comprehensive educational information¹. Most of the more general and multipurpose social surveys (for example large-scale cross-sectional surveys and household panel surveys) also collect information on a respondent's educational background, but usually in less detail. Because there is no simple measure of education that is universally agreed upon, the information collected in social surveys can take numerous forms. For example details on the respondent's experiences in compulsory education, their school grades, how much formal education they have completed, the title or nature of their qualifications and the types of institution that they attended post-school, are all often collected in multipurpose social surveys, but there are variations from survey to survey in the range of measures collected. Social survey data collectors usually construct one or more 'derived' education variables, and these summary measures tend to be the most popularly used in secondary analyses. These measures vary from survey to survey.

¹ Influential examples include the Youth Cohort Study, the Longitudinal Study of Young People in England and the Scottish School Leavers Survey Murray, S. and V. Gayle (2012). Youth Transitions. Survey Question Bank Topic Overview 8, Social Resources Network.

In specialist fields such as educational sociology and social stratification research, educational measures are frequently analysed by researchers who have specific expertise in the field of education (for an illustration see Lucas 2001, Breen and Jonsson 2005, Paterson and Iannelli 2007). Outside of these specialist areas secondary analysts may wish to use an education measure as either an outcome or explanatory variable, but they may have less in-depth knowledge of the scope and limitations of possible measures. An aim of this paper is to increase awareness of the issues associated with measuring education in context, and provide guidance for researchers who are not experts in this field.

We commence with an outline of important context which impinges upon the measurement of education. The UK is the focus of this paper, but similar issues apply to many other nation states. We outline the main approaches to measuring education that are appropriate in social survey research. We conclude by making a series of practical recommendations for researchers engaged in secondary data analysis.

2. EDUCATION IN CONTEXT

General social surveys usually collect data on a large sample of respondents which reflect the wider structure of a population (e.g. the nation). Therefore samples will routinely include respondents of different ages and at different stages of the life course. There have been radical changes in the education systems in most nations within living memories, and older cohorts of respondents in surveys will tend to have been educated in different circumstances to younger cohorts. For ease we will refer to different groups as ‘educational cohorts’. Below we elaborate upon a number of changes to educational systems and opportunities that have influenced ‘educational cohorts’ in the UK, and stress that there are comparable stories of substantial educational change, albeit with different specific details, in other countries.

The difference between ‘educational cohorts’ is easily illustrated using a British example. The British Household Panel Survey (BHPS) is a multipurpose household panel survey of approximately five thousand households and ten thousand individuals (Berthoud and Gershuny 2000, Institute for Social and Economic

Research 2010). In the first wave of the survey (1991) the oldest adult respondent was born in 1894 and the youngest in 1975, a span of eighty one years. A small number of very old BHPS respondents attended school before the First World War, about a quarter attended school in the inter-war years, and the bulk of respondents attended school after the Second World War. The respondents also had varying access to educational opportunities beyond compulsory schooling. Those born before 1945 had very limited opportunities to gain post-school qualifications. Those born from 1945 benefitted from higher education expansion, and those born after 1965 benefitted from greater expansion in both further and higher education. Each of these educational cohorts were educated under different conditions, the structure and organisation of educational institutions differed, and the educational opportunities available to pupils were markedly different.

2.1. MINIMUM SCHOOL LEAVING AGE ²

The ‘raising of the school leaving age’ (ROSLA) is a term used in the UK to describe an act brought into force when the legal age that a young person is allowed to leave compulsory education increases (Ainley 1988, Blackburn and Jarman 1992, Paterson 2003, Trowler 2003, Bolton 2012). In the UK, the compulsory school leaving age was increased from fourteen to fifteen in 1947, as a result of the *1944 Education Act*. It was raised again in 1973 to sixteen, and more recently in England the school leaving age has been further extended³. Many researchers include a measure of years of full-time education completed within their analyses (Kunovich and Slomczynski 2007, Eikemo, Huisman et al. 2008). In some research applications using a duration

² In the UK school refers to the education completed within primary and secondary school institutions. These schools are attended by pupils between the ages of around five years and around eighteen years (pupils move from primary to secondary schools at around age 11). Secondary schools can include pupils who have completed their compulsory education, who remain in secondary school to complete higher school level school qualifications (which are required to gain entry into higher education). The term further education refers to education completed in addition to compulsory school education. Further education qualifications can be academic or have a vocational orientation. Higher education refers to education completed in addition to compulsory school education which is more demanding than secondary school education and further education. Higher education is most usually completed within a university setting (and will lead to a degree).

³ For contemporary educational cohorts, the *Education and Skills Act 2008* increased the minimum age at which young people in England can leave school or formal training. From 2013 young people had to remain in education until they reached the age of 17, and from 2015 young people must remain in education until the age of 18. The school leaving age currently remains at 16 years in the rest of the UK.

measure is a straightforward and functional strategy. The organisational changes to the length of compulsory education in Britain might be consequential, but would be hidden in an analysis that included adults of very different ages (and therefore from different educational cohorts). A naïve analysis of all adults in the British Household Panel Survey might overlook this important contextual detail.

2.2. CHANGING SCHOOL STRUCTURES

Over the course of the twentieth century different educational cohorts in the UK have passed through very different school and post-school systems. The *1944 Education Act* sought to provide compulsory secondary education for all, free of charge through a school system that was highly selective (Blackburn and Jarman 1992). On the basis of an ability test taken at age 11 (the 11-plus exam), most children were allocated to one constituent of a tripartite system of schooling (Ainley 1988). Children who passed the 11-plus examination were generally allocated places at grammar schools, whereas pupils who failed the 11-plus were generally allocated places at secondary modern schools. In some regions education was also provided at technical schools. Grammar schools provided traditional academic education leading to formal qualifications and the possibility of entering higher education, whilst a more vocationally orientated curriculum was delivered in secondary modern and technical schools.

The UK has since moved away from the tripartite school system. The ‘11-plus’ was abolished in most regions by the early 1970s and comprehensive schools (i.e. schools which do not select their intake on the basis of academic achievement or perceived ability) became the most common types of school, although a small number of areas of England still maintain selective grammar schools (Bolton 2012; Paterson 2003). Given the context of changes in school structures over time, an analysis that uses an educational measure such as the type of secondary school attended has the potential to be misleading when respondents from different educational cohorts are included within the same analytical sample.

2.3. CHANGING SCHOOL-AGE QUALIFICATIONS

Nations like the UK have education systems with a wide range of qualifications. In addition to the school leaving age increasing and school systems being reorganised there have also been dramatic changes in school-level qualifications (Bolton 2012). Noah and Eckstein (1992) highlight that in the period since the end of the Second World War new qualifications have emerged and later disappeared. In England, Wales and Northern Ireland the General Certificate of Education Ordinary Level (O' Level) was introduced in the 1950s and was the normal examination, at the end of compulsory education, for pupils attending grammar Schools. The Certificate of Secondary Education (CSE) was introduced in the 1960s and was designed for pupils performing at a lower level but its highest grade was considered to be equivalent to a low grade O'Level. These qualifications were replaced by the General Certificate of Secondary Education (GCSE) in the late 1980s (Department of Education 1985, Mobley, Emerson et al. 1986, North 1987).

The Scottish education system has always had a different set of school age qualifications. The Ordinary Grade of the Scottish Certificate of Education (commonly known as O-Grades) was usually taken at ages 15/16 until the late 1980's when they were replaced by Standard Grades. A new system of National grades were introduced in Scotland in 2014 (see Kidner 2013).

The UK school education system has generally been organised into a two tier qualification structure which comprises a lower tier of examinations that are undertaken at the end of compulsory school, and a higher tier of more advanced qualifications which are undertaken usually in the years that immediately follow post-compulsory school. The more advanced school-level qualifications (which are usually targeted towards entry into higher education) have remained relatively stable. The General Certificate of Education Advanced Level (A' Level), usually requiring two years of study, has been undertaken by pupils in England, Wales and Northern Ireland since the early 1950s. Pupils usually undertake three subject specific A'Levels. These qualifications are the standard requirement for university entry and a prerequisite for some jobs. Other qualifications such as the more advanced Scholarship Level and Special Papers existed for pupils in the post-compulsory school stage during various

periods but were abolished some time ago. At other times a range of intermediate qualifications such as the Advanced Supplementary Level (AS) and the more recent Advanced Subsidiary (AS) Level have been available to pupils (the similarity of the titles of these qualifications often causes confusion). Recently advanced qualifications such as the International Baccalaureate and Pre-U are beginning to be offered by some schools as alternatives to A' Levels. Scotland has also experienced substantial variations in advanced school-level qualifications in recent decades (see Paterson 2003). These changes are summarized succinctly in a timeline produced by the Scottish Credit and Qualifications Framework⁴.

Clearly given the chequered history of both lower and higher tier school qualifications, care is required when undertaking secondary analyses of school-level qualification measures. If the survey dataset contains respondents who gained school-level qualifications in different time periods this issue is especially acute. Even within the same educational cohort pupils can have gained a mixture of qualifications. For example during the early 1980s comprehensive school pupils in England and Wales frequently undertook a mixture of O'Levels and CSEs at age 16, and might undertake a mixture of O'Levels and A'Levels in the following school years. Similarly, in Scotland during the last decade it was not uncommon for pupils to study a mixture of Advanced Highers, Highers and Intermediates in the late stages of school.

In the UK pupils undertake a portfolio of school qualifications across a range of subjects. In England, Wales and Northern Ireland pupils study for many GCSEs and the award is for the individual subject (e.g. Maths, English, History, etc.)⁵. Pupils choose their subjects based on the prescriptions of their teachers and schools, and also to some extent based on personal and parental choice. This means that pupils will have studied a reasonably individualised personal portfolio of GCSEs. Therefore, school GCSE attainment cannot be easily summarised by an obvious single measure.

⁴<http://www.scqf.org.uk/content/files/Old%20Vs%20New%20%28low%20res%29%20-%20Updated%20July%202013.pdf>

⁵ In Scotland, pupils also study for a range of subjects, and grades are awarded for each individual subject, however the examinations undertaken are different. The same problems described in this section emerge from the analysis of school examinations in Scotland.

In some specialist surveys (for example the Youth Cohort Study of England and Wales) data on individual qualifications and grades awarded in individual subjects are collected. Each individual GCSE is awarded a separate grade from A* (the highest) to G (the lowest grade of pass). The grade is alphabetical rather than numerical and there is no obvious method of aggregation, therefore there is no single clear indicator of an individuals' overall level of school attainment. Gaining five or more GCSEs at grades A*-C is a standard benchmark and it is used in official reporting (see Leckie and Goldstein 2009). This benchmark is routinely employed in a wide variety of social science applications (e.g. Gayle, Berridge et al. 2003, Connolly 2006, Sullivan, Heath et al. 2011). A limitation of this measure is that it treats an A* in history, a C in maths and a B in geography equally in determining whether or not a pupil has five GCSEs at grades A*- C (Gorard and Taylor 2002). In more recent years the UK Government has produced league tables which have also included a measure of the proportion of pupils in a school gaining five or more GCSEs at grades A*- C including maths and English (Taylor 2011). The addition of achieving grades A*-C in maths and English does not however overcome the more general obstacle of how best to suitably combine alphabetical grades from a portfolio of different GCSE results.

A plausible alternative strategy for measuring GCSE attainment is to construct measures based on scores. There are many possible scores that could be assigned to the alphabetical grades ascribed to the levels of GCSE attainment. In line with a Qualifications and Curriculum Authority (QCA) scoring method, Croxford, Iannelli and Shapira (2007) calculated a measure of GCSE attainment by allocating 7 points for an A*/A, 6 points for a B, 5 points for a C, 4 points for a D, 3 points for an E, 2 points for a F, and 1 point for a G, and therefore producing an overall score for each pupil's attainment. More recently, a new set of scores has been proposed⁶, although we note that the scores for each alphabetical GCSE grade are similarly spaced in both schemes and are therefore unlikely to dramatically alter observed patterns of attainment. Haque and Bell (2001) converted GCSE attainment into numerical scores and then used these scores to calculate a mean score for each pupil. Their method has the potential advantage of taking into account variations in the number of GCSEs which pupils have undertaken, which is often a result of school policies. An

⁶ See http://www.education.gov.uk/schools/performance/2011/secondary_11/.

innovative approach has recently been developed by Gayle and Playford (2014) who have studied subject-specific GCSE attainment using latent class analysis, and have identified distinct groups of pupils based on their attainment across a number of subjects.

We hope that the information presented in the passages above indicates that there are alternative approaches to using detailed survey data on school-level qualifications. Some approaches will be better suited to specific analyses. Our empirical research leads us to conclude that representing school-level attainment information in as much resolution as possible and avoiding the simple categorisation of results is a favourable analytical approach when the data have sufficient detail (see Connelly, Murray et al. 2013, Gayle, Murray et al. 2014). We advise that is good practice to avoid constructing arbitrary or *ad hoc* measures of school-level attainment from existing social survey data. We suggest that it is preferable, wherever possible, for data analysts to stick with established measures (e.g. the QCA scoring methods) as these are transparent, documented, used by other researchers, and are replicable.

2.4. POST-SCHOOL EDUCATIONAL INSTITUTIONS AND EDUCATIONAL EXPANSION

In Britain the number of pupils staying on in education past the compulsory school leaving age increased dramatically through the second half of the twentieth century, from around 10% in 1950 to around 70% in 2000 (Clark, Conlon et al. 2005). This expansion was associated with growth in both further education and higher education (involving University courses). The expansion in participation in higher education was uneven, with general patterns of increase punctuated by two periods of accelerated expansion. The first period was between 1963 and 1970 (Walford 1991). The second period was between 1988 and 1992 (Bathmaker 2003). To illustrate the scale of expansion official statistics report that there were 414,000 fulltime undergraduate students in 1970/71 and 1,052,000 in 1997/8 (Office for National Statistics 2000 Table 3.12). There has been further expansion in British higher education, for example by the mid-1990s around 30% of 18-19 year olds in the UK were participating in higher education but this increased to 36% by the end of the 2000s (HEFCE 2010). The expansion of participation in British higher education can

be illustrated using social survey data. Using data from the General Household Survey, Figure 1 depicts the variation by age in the probability of a respondent having a degree which is the result of the expansion in higher education.




Figure 1: Higher Education Expansion - Attainment of a University Degree by age.

Source: 2006 UK General Household Survey n = 14,177.

Note: Sample includes adults aged between 23 and 90 in 2006.

Post-school educational expansion has led to dramatic increases in the average levels of educational attainment of different educational cohorts (Glennister 2001, Greenaway and Haynes 2003). It is argued that educational expansion has also led to changes in the relative social value which can be attributed to educational qualifications, a process sometimes known as ‘credential inflation’ (see Burris 1983, Clogg and Shockey 1984, Blackburn and Jarman 1992, Brown 1995, Groot and Van den Brink 2000). The credential inflation thesis predicts that as the supply of highly educated labour increases, the value of specific educational qualifications decrease within the labour market (Van de Werfhorst and Andersen 2005). Similarly, the social meaning of an educational qualification such as a university degree will change over time particularly in the UK which has moved from an elite to a mass system of higher education. These dramatic transformations in post-school education have substantial

implications for survey data collected from respondents from different educational cohorts, and secondary data analysts should exercise suitable caution.

3. APPROACHES TO MEASURING EDUCATION

Despite the key importance of education in social science research, the practical process of constructing measures has not received extended attention. At least three broad categories of approach are commonly used to measure education in survey research. First, measures of the time spent in education (i.e. years of education). Second, taxonomies of the highest educational qualifications held (Schneider 2008). Third, scaling techniques which attribute scores to the highest educational qualifications held (Buis 2010). We critically evaluate each of these three approaches in this section.

3.1. YEARS OF EDUCATION

Many social surveys include a measure of years of full-time education completed (Schneider 2011). This measure is routinely included in analyses (see Kunovich and Slomczynski 2007, Eikemo, Huisman et al. 2008). As metric measures of education these are particularly attractive within statistical modelling approaches as they can be added to regression models as continuous covariates (see Treiman 2009). Measures of years of education are particularly popular in economics where an attempt to represent educational assets gradationally often fits neatly with theories or analyses of incremental returns to human capital (see Harmon, Oosterbeek et al. 2003). It is commonplace for economists to convert categorical data on a respondent's highest qualification into a measure of time spent in education, on the basis of external information about the average time in education for each qualification (see Dearden, McIntosh et al. 2002).

A potential limitation of using measures of years in fulltime education is that it may not necessarily work well as a proxy for educational qualifications. In Britain for example qualifications with very different levels often require a similar amount of time in education due to the structure and organisation of the educational year. This can be a significant shortcoming for using years of education as a measure, as it risks

conflating different qualifications that may provide different competencies and have a different value in the labour market (see Dearden, McIntosh et al. 2002). Hoffmeyer-Zlotnik and Warner (2013) warn that in practice, measures of years of education typically only correlate with qualification based measures at between 0.5 and 0.7.

3.2. QUALIFICATION BASED CATEGORIZATIONS

Qualification based measures provide more detailed information about formal educational experiences, the courses and subjects studied, the vocational or academic nature of the education undertaken, and in many instances the relative level of attainment within the qualification itself (i.e. the grade achieved). Frequently, social surveys ask individuals to describe the highest qualification that they hold (either by providing a textual description of the qualification or by choosing one option from a selection of categories). In addition, some surveys include extensive questioning in order to enumerate all of the respondent's formal qualifications, and the grades attained for their qualification(s) (Jenkins and Siedler 2007, Schneider 2011). Given the large number of qualifications available in countries like the UK, it is generally necessary for researchers to reduce this information into an education measure with a much smaller number of categories (see Schneider 2011). Secondary data analysts generally focus upon the highest qualification which a respondent holds.

A common approach to educational classification is to make use of the 'derived' measures deposited with social survey datasets. These summary measures of qualification categories are prepared by the data depositors (Schneider 2011). Unfortunately, there are substantial variations from survey to survey in the format of the derived educational measures. For example, the British Household Panel Survey generated a twelve category typology of highest educational qualification⁷. This measure is not the same as the highest educational qualification measure deposited with either the Labour Force Survey⁸ or the General Household Survey⁹. Therefore, consideration is still required when using a derived educational measure that has been

⁷ See: <https://www.iser.essex.ac.uk/bhps>. Variable name: wQFEDHI.

⁸ See: <http://www.ons.gov.uk/ons/guide-method/method-quality/specific/labour-market/labour-market-statistics/index.html>. Variable name: EDLEV00.

⁹ See: <http://discover.ukdataservice.ac.uk/series/?sn=200019>. Variable name: HIQUAP.

deposited with a large-scale datasets. This is because the measure might not be readily comparable across surveys.

In order to promote a standardised measurement instrument for education, the Office for National Statistics has suggested a taxonomy of qualifications with three categories (degree level and above, other, and none) (Office for National Statistics 2005). Schneider (2011) highlights the obvious point that such a simple classification does not represent the full variety of educational qualifications and levels of attainment in education within the UK. We are convinced that the diverse range of qualifications placed within the same category of this crude measure will lead to a large degree of unhelpful within-category variation. Therefore the ONS educational measure is likely to be sub-optimal for almost all empirical social science analyses.

The National Vocational Qualification (NVQ) levels provide another approach to categorising UK qualifications. Due to concerns over the complexity of vocational qualifications in the late 1980s, the National Council for Vocational Qualifications developed a new framework of vocational qualifications called National Vocational Qualifications (Jenkins and Sabates 2007). Although the original NVQ qualifications have been replaced, researchers such as Dearden *et al.* (2002) have found the NVQ levels useful for classifying both vocational and academic qualifications into a convenient scheme for empirical research. Examples of qualifications and their NVQ levels are shown in Table 1.

	NVQ Level	Example Qualifications
Academic Qualifications	1	CSE below grade 1
	2	O'Level, GCSE grades A* - C, CSE grade 1
	3	A'Level, Scottish Certificate of 6 th Year Studies, SCE Higher, AS Level
	4	Diploma in Higher Education
	5	First Degree, Higher Degree
Vocational Qualifications	1	SCOTVEC National Certificate Modules, NVQ Level 1, GNVQ Foundation, City and Guilds Part 1, BTEC First Certificate
	2	NVQ Level 2, GNVQ Intermediate, City and Guilds Part 2, BTEC First Diploma
	3	NVQ Level 3, GNVQ Advanced, City and Guilds Part 3, ONC, OND
	4	NVQ Level 4, HNC, HND
	5	NVQ Level 5

Table 1: Examples of UK educational qualifications and their NVQ level.

There are other more sociologically informed approaches to categorising educational qualifications which are available. The two most prominent examples are the 'Comparative Analysis of Social Mobility in Industrial Nations' (CASMIN) classification of education (Brauns, Scherer, and Steinmann 2003) and the 'International Standard Classification of Education' (ISCED) (UNESCO 1997, 2012). CASMIN (see Table 2) contains nine categorical levels and differentiates between academic and vocational qualifications. By contrast ISCED contains seven levels, with further sub-categories within each level, but also incorporates academic and vocational skills (see Table 3).

CASMIN and ISCED are specifically designed to permit cross-national comparisons, and have been successfully used in large-scale comparative projects (e.g. Blossfeld and Hofmeister 2005, Breen 2004, Heath, Cheung, and Smith 2007). CASMIN and ISCED measures can also be deployed in national level analyses although at the current time this approach is not widely used. Using these measures might help to overcome the general problem of different measures being deposited with different large-scale surveys. We wish to draw attention to the British Household Panel Survey which deposited CASMIN and ISCED along with other educational

measures, and we conclude that this is a good practice that other large-scale social surveys could also usefully adopt.

	Description	UK Qualification Examples
1a	Inadequately completed general elementary education	No qualification
1b	Inadequately completed general elementary education	GCSE grades D-G, SCE standard grades 4-7
1c	Basic vocational qualification or general elementary education and basic vocational qualification	Basic Skills qualification, Key Skills qualification, YT/YTP certificate, City and Guilds other, RSA other, SCOTVEC modules or equivalent, BTEC first or general certificate, GNVQ/GSVQ foundation level, NVQ/SVQ level 1 or equivalent
2a	Intermediate vocational qualification or intermediate general education plus basic vocational qualification	BTEC/SCOTVEC first or general diploma, City and Guilds craft, RSA diploma, GNVQ intermediate, NVQ/SVQ level 2 or equivalent
2b	Intermediate general qualification	GCSE grade A-C or equivalent, SCE standard grades 1-3
2c (Voc)	Intermediate general qualification	OND/ONC, BTEC/SCOTVEC national, GNVQ advanced, NVQ/SVQ level 3
2c (Gen)	Full general maturity certificate	AS level or equivalent, A'Level or equivalent, SCE higher or equivalent, Scottish 6th year certificate (CSYS)
3a	Lower tertiary certificate	HNC/HND, BTEC higher etc., NVQ/SVQ level 4
3b	Higher tertiary certificate	University/CNAA Bachelor Degree, Higher degree, Doctorate, NVQ/SVQ level 5

Table 2: The Comparative Analysis of Social Mobility in Industrial National (CASMIN) with UK qualification examples (Schneider, 2011).

Despite their ubiquity there are limitations to undertaking secondary analyses using categorical educational qualification measures. Many qualification measures have large numbers of categories and when included in analyses they tend not produce parsimonious results. Educational measures with many levels routinely have some sparse categories, even when sample sizes are relatively large. In practice researchers will often want to make comparisons between respondents with different education levels, which is more difficult with measures with a large number of categories. In our experience interpreting the influence of an interaction between a

categorical educational measure and another explanatory variable can be taxing especially when both measures have a large number of categories.

	Description	Description
0	Pre-primary education	The initial stage of organised instruction; school or centre based, designed for children aged at least three years
1	Primary education	Begins between five and seven years of age, start of compulsory education
2	Lower secondary education	Continues the basic programmes of the primary level, although teaching is typically more subject-focused. Usually, the end of this level coincides with the end of compulsory education
3	Upper secondary education	Generally begins at the end of compulsory education. The entrance age is typically 15 or 16 years. Requires entrance qualifications. Instruction is often more subject-oriented than at ISCED level 2
4	Post-secondary non-tertiary education	Between upper secondary and tertiary education. This level serves to broaden the knowledge of ISCED level 3 graduates. Typical examples are programmes designed to prepare pupils for studies at level 5 or programmes designed to prepare pupils for direct labour market entry
5	Tertiary education (first stage)	Entry to these programmes normally requires the successful completion of ISCED level 3 or 4
6	Tertiary education (second stage)	Reserved for tertiary studies that lead to an advanced research qualification (i.e. Ph.D. or doctorate)

Table 3: The 2011 International Standard Classification of Education (ISCED) (UNESCO, 1997).

3.3. SCALING EDUCATION MEASURES

Another approach to the analysis of educational qualifications involves their ranking or scaling based upon some relevant criteria. For example a qualification might be ranked by the average income of workers with this level of education. Treiman (2007, 1977, 2009) has advocated this approach which is sometime called ‘effect proportional scaling’. Buis (2010) has demonstrated a variety of methods for producing scales of education, based upon the association between educational qualifications and other outcomes for example income and occupational positions. Buis (2010) and Lambert (2012) advocate scoring educational qualifications because a large number can be attributed to a single scale. In a statistical modelling framework scoring offers a parsimonious way of summarising detailed educational data. In our experience interpreting the influence of an interaction between a metric educational measure and another explanatory variable can be more straightforward than

interpreting an interaction between two categorical variables (especially when a measure has a large number of categories). Despite these attractive properties a cursory review of existing studies leads us to conclude that approaches which scale education are not popular in secondary social survey data analyses within contemporary social science.

Scaling approaches are not without limitations, Chauvel (2002) for instance argues that the nature of educational attainment is too complex, heterogeneous and multi-dimensional to be represented on a uni-dimensional scale. He concludes that scaling educational attainment may therefore hide complex qualitative differences between individuals. We recognise that this is a justifiable methodological point. However, Buis (2010) and Lambert (2012) provide extended exploratory analyses that persuade us that in practice this is not a serious limitation to using a scaling approach.

Credential inflation is a particularly difficult issue to deal with when categorical schemes of educational qualifications are used. This is because the underlying implication is that categories remain stable over time. Scaling approaches have the attraction of allowing the adjustment of scores to reflect changes between educational cohorts. For example, the score attributed to a degree level qualification could be set lower for more recent educational cohorts, in order to recognise the relative growth in graduate level education. We note that a useful alternative method to combating credential inflation has been demonstrated by Tam (2007). This approach is called a Positional Status Index (PSI), and scores represent the number of other survey respondents that an individual has to overtake in order to reach their educational level. The PSI approach provides a within educational cohort measure and therefore lends itself towards providing increased control for credential inflation. Bukodi and Goldthorpe (2013) have successfully employed this approach when analysing data from three British birth cohorts covering different educational periods.¹⁰

¹⁰ We would also like to draw attention to recent analysis in which Connelly and Gayle (2014) have successfully used a PSI approach to operationalise parental social class in an analysis of three birth cohorts.

4. CONCLUSION

Measures of education are essential components of many secondary social survey analyses, and are powerful predictors of a diverse range of social outcomes. We began this paper with the claim that education is more difficult to measure than is often assumed. We have tried to draw attention to some hidden challenges associated with undertaking analyses which include educational measures. We conclude by making the recommendation that researchers place careful thought into which educational measure (or measures) they select when analysing survey data.

In nations such as the UK the education system and qualifications appear to almost be in constant state of flux. We have highlighted that these changes have genuine influences on education data. It is of paramount importance for secondary data analysts to consider the educational context in which survey respondents undertook their education. We advise against researchers developing ad hoc educational measures which do not facilitate comparability across studies and which do not support reliable and valid replications. When social surveys contain a number of competing measures we argue that researchers should undertake sensitivity analyses which evaluate the merits of different educational measures. As a routine part of their analytical programme researchers should make their sensitivity analyses public, for example in data supplements, on web pages, or in institutional repositories.

In circumstances where secondary data analyst construct new educational measures it is essential that they place effort into clearly documenting how these measures were constructed, and preserve these details and make them available to the research community. These practices chime squarely with efforts to introduce more replicability and an atmosphere of ‘open data’ in the social sciences (see Freese 2007). There have already been a few efforts in the social sciences to bring together documentation and metadata about the construction of educational measures (Lambert et al. 2011, Ganzeboom and Treiman 1992). We suggest that these good practices are encouraged. This would constitute a step-change in secondary social survey data analysis and we are convinced that it would pay long-term dividends.

REFERENCES

- Ainley, P.** (1988) *From School to the YTS: Education and training in England and Wales, 1944-1987*. Milton Keynes, Open University Press.
- Bathmaker, A.M.** (2003) The Expansion of Higher Education: A Consideration of Control, Funding and Quality In Bartlett, S. and Burton, D. *Education Studies: Essential Issues*, pp. 169-189, London, Sage.
- Berthoud, R. and Gershuny, J.**(2000) *Seven years in the lives of British families: Evidence on the dynamics of social change from the British Household Panel Survey*. The Policy Press.
- Blackburn, R.M. and Jarman, J.** (1992) *Changing Inequalities in Access to Higher Education*. Sociological Research Group, Working Paper No.12, University of Cambridge.
- Blossfeld, H. and Hofmeister, H.** (2005). GLOBALIFE - Life Courses in the Globalization Process: Final Report. Bamberg, Germany: Faculty of Social and Economic Science, Otto Freidrich University of Bamberg.
- Bolton, P.** (2012) *Education: historical statistics*. House of Commons Library.
- Brauns, H., Scherer, S. and Steinmann, S.** (2003). The CASMIN educational classification in international comparative research. In Hoffmeyer-Zlotnik, J.H.P. and Wolf, C. (eds.) *Advances in cross-national comparison: A European working book for demographic and socio-economic variables*, 221-244, London, Kluwer.
- Breen, R. (Ed.)** (2004). *Social Mobility in Europe*. Oxford: Oxford University Press.
- Breen, R. and Jonsson, J.O.** (2005) Inequality of opportunity in comparative perspective: Recent research on educational attainment and social mobility. *Annual review of Sociology*: 223-243.
- Brown, D.K.** (1995) *Degrees of control: A sociology of educational expansion and occupational credentialism*. Teachers College Press.
- Buis, M.L.** (2010) *Inequality of Educational Outcome and Inequality of Educational Opportunity in the Netherlands during the 20th Century*. VU University Amsterdam.
- Bukodi, E. and Goldthorpe, J.H.** (2013). Decomposing 'Social Origins': The Effects of Parents' Class, Status, and Education on the Educational Attainment of Their Children. *European Sociological Review*, 29 (5), 1024-1039.
- Burris, V.** (1983) The social and political consequences of overeducation. *American Sociological Review*: 454-467.
- Card, D.** (1999) The causal effect of education on earnings. *Handbook of labor economics*, 3: 1801-1863.
- Chauvel, L.** (2002) Educational Inequalities: Distribution of Knowledge, Social Origins and Social Outcomes. In Lemel, Y. & Noll, H.H. (Eds) *Changing Structures of Inequality: A Comparative Perspective*, pp. 219-249, McGill-Queens, Montreal.
- Clark, D., Conlon, G. and Galindo-Rueda, F.** (2005) Post-Compulsory Education and Qualification Attainment. In Hansen, K. and Vignoles, A. *What's The Good Of Education? The Economics Of Education In The UK*, pp 71-98, Princeton University Press, Princeton.
- Clogg, C. C. and Shockey J. W.** (1984) Mismatch between occupation and schooling: A prevalence measure, recent trends and demographic analysis. *Demography* 21(2): 235-257.
- Connelly, R., Murray, S.J. and Gayle, V.** (2013) Young People and School GCSE Attainment: Exploring the 'Middle'. *Sociological Research Online* 18(1): 6.
- Connolly, P.** (2006). The effects of social class and ethnicity on gender differences in GCSE attainment: a secondary analysis of the Youth Cohort Study of England and Wales 1997–2001. *British Educational Research Journal*, 32 (1), 3-21.

- Croxford, L., Ianelli, C. and Shapira, M.** (2007) *Documentation of the Youth Cohort Time-Series Datasets*. Centre For Educational Sociology, UK Data Archive Study Number: 1984-2002.
- Dearden, L., McIntosh, S., Myck, M. and Vignoles, A.** (2002) The returns to academic and vocational qualifications in Britain. *Bulletin of economic research*, 54 (3), 249-274.
- Department of Education.** (1985) *General Certificate of Secondary Education: A General Introduction*. London, Her Majesty's Stationary Office.
- Desai, S. and Alva, S.** (1998) Maternal education and child health: Is there a strong causal relationship? *Demography*, 35 (1), 71-81.
- Eikemo, T.A., Huisman, M., Bambra, C. and Kunst, A.E.** (2008) Health inequalities according to educational levels in different welfare regimes: a comparison of 23 European countries. *Sociology of Health and Illness*, 30 (4), 565-582.
- Freese, J.** (2007). Replication Standards for Quantitative Social Science Why Not Sociology? *Sociological Methods & Research*, 36 (2), 153-172.
- Ganzeboom, H.B.G. and Treiman, D.J.** (1992). *International Stratification and Mobility File: Conversion Tools (Version 92-08-25)*. Utrecht, Department of Sociologie.
- Gayle, V., Berridge, D. and Davies, R.B.** (2003) *Econometric analysis of the demand for higher education*. Department for Education and Skills.
- Gayle, V., Murray, S. and Connelly, R.** (2014) Young people and school General Certificate of Secondary Education attainment: looking for the 'missing middle'. *British Journal of Sociology of Education*, 1-21.
- Gayle, V. and Playford, C.J.** (2014) The concealed middle? An exploration of ordinary young people and school GCSE subject area attainment. *ESRC Centre for Population Change Working Paper 51*, ESRC Centre for Population Change, UK.
- Glennerster, H.** (2001) United Kingdom Education 1997-2001. *CASE Paper 50: Centre for Analysis of Social Exclusion*.
- Gorard, S. and Taylor, C.** (2002) What is segregation? A comparison of measures in terms of 'strong' and 'weak' compositional invariance. *Sociology*, 36 (4), 875-895.
- Greenaway, D. and Haynes, M.** (2003). Funding higher education in the UK: the role of fees and loans. *Economic Journal*, 113, 150-167.
- Groot, W. and Maassen Van den Brink, H.** (2000) Overeducation in the labor market: a meta-analysis. *Economics of education review*, 19 (2), 149-158.
- Haque, Z. and Bell, J.F.** (2001) Evaluating the performances of minority ethnic pupils in secondary schools. *Oxford Review of Education*, 27 (3), 357-368.
- Harmon, C., Oosterbeek, H. and Walker, I.** (2003). The returns to education: Microeconomics. *Journal of economic surveys*, 17 (2), 115-156.
- Hartog, J.** (2000). Over-education and earnings: where are we, where should we go? *Economics of education review*, 19 (2), 131-147.
- Heath, A., Cheung, S.Y. and Smith, S.W.** (2007). *Unequal Chances: Ethnic Minorities in Western Labour Markets*. Oxford, Oxford University Press.
- HEFCE.** (2010) Trends in Young Participation in Higher Education: Core Results for England. In *Issues Paper 2010/03*. Higher Education Funding Council for England, London.
- Hoffmeyer-Zlotnik, J.H.P. and Warner, U.** (2013) *Harmonising Demographic and Socio-Economic Variables for Cross-National Comparative Survey Research*. Berlin, Springer.
- Institute for Social and Economic Research.** (2010) *British Household Panel Survey, Waves 1-18, 1991-2009* [Computer File] SN5151. Colchester, Essex, UK Data Archive.
- Jenkins, A. and Sabates, R.** (2007) The classification of qualifications in social surveys. *Centre for Longitudinal Studies Working Paper 2007/2*, Centre for Longitudinal Studies, London.

- Jenkins, S. and Siedler, T.** (2007) Using Household Panel Data to Understand the Intergenerational Transmission Of Poverty. *CPRC Working Paper 74*, Institute for Social and Economic Research, Colchester.
- Kidner, C.** (2013) Curriculum for Excellence. *SPICe Briefing 13/13*, Scottish Parliament Information Centre.
- Kunovich, S. and Slomczynski, K.M.** (2007) Systems of distribution and a sense of equity: A multilevel analysis of meritocratic attitudes in post-industrial societies. *European Sociological Review*, 23 (5), 649-663.
- Kunst, A.E. and Mackenbach, J.P.** (1994) The size of mortality differences associated with educational level in nine industrialized countries. *American journal of public health*, 84 (6), 932-937.
- Lambert, P., Warner, G., Doherty, T., McCafferty, S., Watt, J., Comerford, M., Gayle, V., Tan, L., Blum, J. and Bowes, A.** (2011). Collaborative systems for enhancing the analysis of social surveys: the Grid Enabled Specialist Data Environments. *European Statistics: New Technologies and Techniques in Statistics Biennial conference*, Brussels, Belgium, 2011.
- Lambert, P.S.** (2012). Comparative scaling of educational categories by homogeneity - Analysis of UK data from the BHPS. *Technical Paper 2012-1 of the DAMES Node, Data Management through e-Social Science*. Stirling, Stirling University.
- Leckie, G. and H. Goldstein** (2009) The limitations of using school league tables to inform school choice. *Journal of the Royal Statistical Society: Series A (Statistics in Society)* 172(4): 835-851.
- Lindeboom, M., Llana-Nozal, A. and van Der Klaauw, B.** (2009) Parental education and child health: Evidence from a schooling reform. *Journal of Health Economics*, 28 (1), 109-131.
- Lucas, S.R.** (2001). Effectively Maintained Inequality: Education Transitions, Track Mobility, and Social Background Effects. *American Journal of Sociology*, 106 (6), 1642-1690.
- Mobley, M., Emerson, C., Goddard, I., Goodwin, S. and Letch, R.** (1986) *All About GCSE - A clear and concise summary of all the basic information about GCSE*. Heinemann, London.
- Murray, S. and Gayle, V.** (2012) Youth Transitions. *Survey Question Bank Topic Overview 8*. Social Resources Network.
- Noah, H.J. and Eckstein M.A.** (1992) The two faces of examinations: A comparative and international perspective. In Eckstein, M.A and Noah, H.J. (eds.) *Examinations: Comparative and International Studies* pp. 147-170, Pergamon Press, Oxford.
- North, J.** (1987) *The GCSE: an examination*. Claridge Press.
- Office for National Statistics.** (2000) *Social Trends 30*. London, Office for National Statistics.
- Office for National Statistics.** (2005) *Harmonised Concepts and Questions for Social Data Sources: Primary Standards*. Office for National Statistics.
- Paterson, L.** (2003) *Scottish education in the twentieth century*. Oxford University Press.
- Paterson, L. and Iannelli. C.** (2007) Social class and educational attainment: a comparative study of England, Wales, and Scotland. *Sociology of Education*, 80 (4), 330-358.
- Ross, C.E., and Wu, C.** (1995). The links between education and health. *American sociological review*, 60 (5), 719-745.
- Schneider, S.** (2008) Nominal comparability is not enough: Evaluating cross-national measures of educational attainment using ISER scores. *Sociology Working Papers 2008-04*, University of Oxford.
- Schneider, S.** (2011) Measuring Educational Attainment. Survey Question Bank: Topic Overview 6.

- Sullivan, A., Heath, A. and Rethon. C.** (2011) Equalisation or inflation? Social class and gender differentials in England and Wales. *Oxford Review of Education*, 37 (2), 215-240.
- Tam, T.** (2007). A Paradoxical Latent Structure of Educational Inequality: Cognitive Ability and Family Background across Diverse Societies. *International Sociological Association RC28*, Brno, Czech Republic.
- Taylor, J.** (2011) The English Baccalaureate: how not to measure school performance. *Economics Working Paper Series*, The Department of Economics, Lancaster University.
- Treiman, D.J.** (1977) Occupational Prestige in Comparative Perspective. Academic Press, New York.
- Treiman, D.J.** (2007). The Legacy of Apartheid: Racial Inequalities in the New South Africa. In Heath, A.F. and Cheung, S.Y. (eds.) *Unequal Chances: Ethnic Minorities in Western Labour Markets*. Oxford, Oxford University Press.
- Treiman, D.J.** (2009). Quantitative Data Analysis: Doing Social Research to Test Ideas. San Francisco, John Wiley & Sons.
- Trowler, P.** (2003) *Education policy*. Psychology Press.
- Van de Werfhorst, H. G. and R. Andersen** (2005) Social Background, Credential Inflation and Educational Strategies. *Acta Sociologica* 48(4): 321-340.
- Walford, G.** (1991) Changing Relationship Between Government and Higher Education in Britain. In Neave, G. and Van Vught, F.A. (Eds.) *The Changing Relationship Between Government and Higher Education in Western Europe* pp. 165-183, Pergamon Press, Oxford.

ESRC Centre for Population Change
 Building 58, Room 2001
 Faculty of Social and Human Sciences
 University of Southampton
 SO17 1BJ

T: +44 (0)2380 592579
 E: cpc@soton.ac.uk
www.cpc.ac.uk

To subscribe to the CPC newsletter and keep up-to-date with research activity, news and events, please register online:
www.cpc.ac.uk/newsletter

For our latest research updates you can also follow CPC on Twitter, Facebook and Mendeley:



www.facebook.com/CPCpopulation



www.twitter.com/CPCpopulation



www.mendeley.com/groups/3241781/centre-for-population-change

The ESRC Centre for Population Change (CPC) is a joint initiative between the University of Southampton and a consortium of Scottish universities including St Andrews, Edinburgh, Stirling and Strathclyde, in partnership with the Office for National Statistics and National Records of Scotland.

